

## REMARKS

Claims 1-67 were presented for examination and were pending in this application. In the latest Office Action, claims 1-67 were subject to a restriction requirement under 35 U.S.C. § 121.

In making the restriction requirement, the examiner identified two distinct inventions:

- Species I (“media processing system resides at least in part on the printing system and at least in part on the network device”); and
- Species II (“the media processing system is located at the printing device and not located at the network device, the first output source and the second output source are part of the printing device”).

In response to this restriction requirement, Applicants elect *without traverse* to prosecute Species I in this application.

Statement of Substance of Interview: Applicants’ representative Robert Hulse conducted a brief interview on August 22, 2006, with examiner King Y. Poon to discuss the restriction requirement. In this interview, it was agreed that all of the claims read on at least Species I and will therefore remain in this application.

Based on the foregoing, the application is in condition for allowance of all claims, and a Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested. If the examiner believes for any reason direct contact would help advance the prosecution of this case to allowance, the examiner is encouraged to telephone the undersigned at the number given below.

Respectfully submitted,

PETER E. HART, JONATHAN J. HULL, JAMEY  
GRAHAM, AND KURT PIERSOL

Dated: August 22, 2006

By: /Robert A. Hulse/

Robert A. Hulse, Reg. No. 48,473  
Attorney for Applicant  
Fenwick & West LLP  
801 California Street  
Mountain View, CA 94041  
Tel.: (415) 875-2444  
Fax: (415) 281-1350